

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,290	06/14/2005 Thomas L. Haschen		4845-0101PUS2	3643	
2292 7590 07/12/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		MAHAFKEY, KELLY J			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1761		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,290	HASCHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kelly Mahafkey	1761	

	Kelly Mahafkey	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this-application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 07 05B 44 07 41	C1. 1 '0.' 1	611 . 1.4		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS		20 41 4 11			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE below),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•			
4. 🔯 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		•			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

Cont. 3: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration and thus will not be entered. For example, the limitations of claim 98 were previously directed to a "dryer temperature" and the proposed amendments are directed to the temperature of the "by-product nutrient source mixture."

Cont. 11: Applicant's comments filed June 29, 2007, regarding the 112 rejections, have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant arguments are based on amendments which have not been entered (See #3 above). Specifically regarding applicant's argument that the term "an empirical relationship" is definite, applicant is referred to the previous office action and reminded that the phrase "an empirical relationship" does not clearly relate to the specific empirical relationship taught in applicant's specification or recited in applicant's claims.

Applicant's comments filed June 29, 2007, regarding the 103(a) rejections, have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant's comments are based on proposed amendments that have not been entered (see above) and on arguments, which have been addressed in the office action mailed April 5, 2006.

Thus the rejections are maintained for the reasons of record, as set forth in the Final Office action.

STEVE WEINSTEIN 1761 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,290	HASCHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kelly Mahafkey	1761	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>29 June 2007</u> is considered non-comrequirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment documitem(s) is required.	npliant because it has failed to meet the nent to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT E 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top mar "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction showing amended figures, without markings, in compli C. Other 	n has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all per C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper state of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of number by using one of the following status identifiers (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdram D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented). 	us identifier, and as such, the individual status f every claim must be indicated after its claim : (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), awn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in acconsect See Continuation Sheet.	ordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.	121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RC amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment E) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if tamendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment of the application; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Cont. 5: Applicant's proposed amendments have failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. Applicant's proposed amendments are not properly marked. For example, the word "dryer" has been deleted from claim 98, but is not recited in claim 98; a deleted word is to be designated with a crossed through line. Additionally, claims 103 and 105, contain underline words that were previously presented and are not new (See Amendments made March 14, 2007); only newly aded words are to be designated by an underline.

STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 176/

7/6/07